

References on Structural Equation Modeling
Curran-Bauer Analytics ~ ~ www.cbanalytics.org
version 01.03.12

Below we have listed a number of references to books, chapters, and articles that we have found useful in our own research and teaching. Within each topic references are listed alphabetically. Note that the references provided under each topic are not exhaustive and are intended merely to provide an entrance point to the literature; the inclusion or exclusion of any given paper is not intended to represent endorsement or lack thereof of the paper. Finally, we have generally avoided listing more technical work.

General Books

- Bollen, K.A. (1989). *Structural equations with latent variables*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Brown, T.A. (2006). *Confirmatory Factor Analysis for Applied Research*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Hancock, G.R. & Mueller, R.O. (2006). *Structural equation modeling: A second course*. Greenwich, CT: Information Age Publishing.
- Hoyle, R. H. (2011). *Structural equation modeling for social and personality psychology*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Kaplan, D. (2000). *Structural equation modeling: Foundations and extensions*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Kenny, D. (1979). *Correlation and Causality*.
--freely available at http://davidakenny.net/doc/cc_v1.pdf
- Kline, R.B. (2010). *Principles and practice of structural equation modeling* (Third Edition). New York: Guilford Press.
- Loehlin, J.C. (2004). *Latent Variable Models (4th ed)*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Mahwah, N.J.
- Raykov, T. & Marcoulides, G.A. (2006). *A first course in structural equation modeling*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Earlbaum Associates.
- Schumacker, R.E. & Lomax, R.G. (2010). *A beginner's guide to structural equation modeling* (Third Edition). New York: Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group

Measurement Models

- Bollen, K.A. (2002) Latent variables in psychology and the social sciences. *Annual Review of Psychology*.
- Bollen, K. A., & Lennox, R. D. (1991). Conventional wisdom on measurement: A structural equation perspective. *Psychological Bulletin*, 110, 305-314.
- DeShon, R. P. (1998). A cautionary note on measurement error corrections in structural equation models. *Psychological Methods*, 4, 412-423.
- Edwards, J. R., & Bagozzi, R. P. (2000). On the nature and direction of relationships between constructs and measures. *Psychological Methods*, 5, 155-174.
- John, O. P., & Benet-Martinez, V. (2000). Measurement: Reliability, construct validation, and scale construction. In H. T. Reis & C. M. Judd (Eds.), *Handbook of research methods in personality and social psychology* (pp. 339-369). New York: Cambridge.

- Little, T. D., Cunningham, W. A., Shahar, G., & Widaman, K. F. (2002). To parcel or not to parcel: Exploring the question, weighing the merits. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 9, 151-173.
- Little, T. D., Lindenberger, U., & Nesselroade, J. R. (1999). On selecting indicators for multivariate measurement and modeling with latent variables: When “good” indicators are bad and “bad” indicators are good. *Psychological Methods*, 4, 192-211.
- MacCallum, R. C., & Browne, M. W. (1993). The use of causal indicators in covariance structure models: Some practical issues. *Psychological Bulletin*, 114, 533-541.
- Steiger, J. H. (2002). When constraints interact: A caution about reference variables, identification constraints, and scale dependencies in structural equation modeling. *Psychological Methods*, 7, 210-227.

Assessing Fit

- Bollen, K.A. & Long, J.S. (1993). *Testing structural equation models*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage
- Browne, M.W., MacCallum, R.C., Kim, C.-T., Andersen, B.L., & Glaser, R. (2002). When fit indices and residuals are incompatible. *Psychological Methods*, 7, 403-421.
- Hu, L.-Z. & Bentler, P.M. (1998). Fit indices in covariance structure modeling: Sensitivity to underparameterized model misspecification. *Psychological Methods*, 3, 42-53.
- Hu, L.-Z. & Bentler, P.M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 6, 1-55.
- Kaplan, D. (1990). Evaluating and modifying covariance structure models: A review and recommendation. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 25, 285-305.
- MacCallum, R. C. (2003). Working with imperfect models. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 38, 113-139.
- Tanaka, J. (1993). Multifaceted conceptions of fit in structural equation models. In K.A. Bollen & J.S. Long, *Testing structural equation models*, (pp. 10-40). Newbury Park, CA: Sage

Reporting Models

- Boomsma, A. (2000). Reporting analyses of covariance structures. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 7, 461-483.
- Hoyle, R.H. & Panter, A.T. (1995). Writing about structural equation modeling. In R.H. Hoyle (Ed.), *Structural Equation Modeling: Concepts, Issues, and Applications* (pp. 158-176). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- McDonald, R.P. & Ho, M.-H. R. (2002). Principles and practice in reporting structural equation analyses. *Psychological Methods*, 7, 64-82.

Power and Sample Size

- Hancock, G. R. (2001). Effect size, power, and sample size determination for structured means modeling and MIMIC approaches to between-groups hypothesis testing of means on a single latent construct. *Psychometrika*, *66*, 373–388.
- Hancock, G.R. (2006). Power analysis in covariance structure models. In G.R. Hancock & R.O. Mueller (Eds.), *Structural equation modeling: A second course* (pp. 69-117). Greenwich, CT: Information Age Publishing.
- MacCallum, R. C., Widaman, K. F., Zhang, S., & Hong, S. (1999). Sample size in factor analysis. *Psychological Methods*, *4*, 84–99.
- MacCallum, R.C., Browne, M.W. & Sugawara, H.M. (1996). Power analysis and determination of sample size for covariance structure modeling. *Psychological Methods*, *1*, 130-149.
- Muthén, L.K & Muthén, B.O. (2002). How to use a Monte Carlo study to decide on sample size and determine power. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *9*, 599-620.
- Satorra, A. & Saris, W. (1985). The power of the likelihood ratio test in covariance structure analysis. *Psychometrika*, *50*, 83-90.

Moderation / Interaction Effects

- Kenny, D., & Judd, C. (1984). Estimating the nonlinear and interactive effects of latent variables. *Psychological Bulletin*, *96*, 201-210.
- Klein, A., & Muthen, B. (2007). Quasi-maximum likelihood estimation of structural equation models with multiple interaction and quadratic effects. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *42*, 647-673.
- Marsh, H., Wen, Z., & Hau, K. (2004). Structural equation models of latent interactions: evaluation of alternative estimation strategies and indicator construction. *Psychological Methods*, *9*, 275-300.
- Ping, R. A. (1996). Latent variable interaction and quadratic effect estimation: A two-step technique using structural equation analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, *119*, 166- 175.
- Schumacker, R., & Marcoulides, G. (1998). *Interaction and nonlinear effects in structural equation modeling*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers.

Mediation / Indirect Effects

- Bollen, K.A. (1987). Total, direct, and indirect effects in structural equation models. *Sociological Methodology* *17*, 37-69.
- Bullock, J. G., Green, D. P., & Ha, S. E. (2010). Yes, but what's the mechanism? (Don't expect an easy answer). *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *98*, 550-558.
- Fox, J. (1980). Effects analysis in structural equation models. *Sociological Methods and Research*, *9*, 3-28.
- MacKinnon (2008). *Introduction to statistical mediation analysis*. New York: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Taylor and Francis Group.
- Shrout, P. E., & Bolger, N. (2002). Mediation in experimental and nonexperimental studies: New procedures and recommendations. *Psychological Methods*, *7*, 422-445.

Multiple (Observed) Groups Models / Invariance Testing

- Byrne, B. M., Shavelson, R. J., & Muthén, B. (1989). Testing for the equivalence of factor covariance and mean structures: The issue of partial measurement invariance. *Psychological Bulletin*, *105*, 456–466.
- Cheung, G. W., & Rensvold, R. B. (1999). Testing factorial invariance across groups: a reconceptualization and proposed new method. *Journal of Management*, *25*, 1–27.
- Cheung, G. W., & Rensvold, R. B. (2002). Evaluating goodness-of-fit indexes for testing measurement invariance. *Structural Equation Modeling*, *9*, 233–255.
- Meredith, W. (1993). Measurement invariance, factor analysis and factorial invariance. *Psychometrika*, *58*, 525–543.
- Millsap, R. E. (1997). Invariance in measurement and prediction: Their relationship in the single factor case. *Psychological Methods*, *2*, 248–260.
- Muthén, B. O. (1989). Latent variable modeling in heterogeneous populations. *Psychometrika*, *54*, 557–585.
- Reise, S. P., Widaman, K. F., & Pugh, R. H. (1993). Confirmatory factor analysis and item response theory: Two approaches for exploring measurement invariance. *Psychological Bulletin*, *114*, 552–566.
- Vandenberg, R. J. (2002). Toward a further understanding of an improvement in measurement invariance methods and procedures. *Organizational Research Methods*, *5*, 139–158.
- Vandenberg, R. J., & Lance, C. E. (2000). A review and synthesis of the measurement invariance literature: Suggestions, practices, and recommendations for organizational research. *Organizational Research Methods*, *3*, 4–69.
- Widaman, K. F., & Reise, S. P. (1997). Exploring the measurement invariance of psychological instruments: applications in the substance use domain. In K. J. Bryant, M. Windle, & S. G. West (Eds.), *The science of prevention: Methodological advances from alcohol and substance abuse research* (pp. 281–324). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Multiple (Latent) Groups Models / Finite Mixture Models

- Arminger, G., & Stein, P. (1997). Finite mixtures of covariance structure models with regressors. *Sociological Methods and Research*, *26*, 148–182.
- Arminger, G., Stein, P., & Wittenberg, J. (1999). Mixtures of conditional mean- and covariance-structure models. *Psychometrika*, *64*, 475–494.
- Bauer, D. J. (2007). Observations on the use of growth mixture models in psychological research. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *42*, 757–786.
- Bauer, D.J., & Curran, P.J. (2003). Distributional assumptions of growth mixture models: Implications for overextraction of latent trajectory classes. *Psychological Methods*, *8*, 338–363.
- Bauer, D.J. & Curran, P.J. (2004). The integration of continuous and discrete latent variable models: potential problems and promising opportunities. *Psychological Methods*, *9*, 3–29.
- Dolan, C. V., & van der Maas, H. L. J. (1998). Fitting multivariate normal finite mixtures subject to structural equation modeling. *Psychometrika*, *63*, 227–253.
- Jedidi, K., Jagpal, H. S., & DeSarbo, W. S. (1997a). Finite-mixture structural equation models for response based segmentation and unobserved heterogeneity. *Marketing Science*, *16*, 39–59.

Jedidi, K., Jagpal, H. S., & DeSarbo, W. S. (1997b). STEMM: A general finite mixture structural equation model. *Journal of Classification*, *14*, 23-50.

Muthén, B. O. (2001). Second-generation structural equation modeling with a combination of categorical and continuous latent variables: New opportunities for latent class/latent growth modeling. In A. Sayer & L. Collins (Eds.), *New methods for the analysis of change* (pp.291-322). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Latent Curve Analysis

Bollen, K.A. & Curran, P. J. (2006). *Latent Curve Models: A Structural Equation Perspective*. New York: Wiley

Curran, P.J., Bauer, D.J., & Willoughby, M.T. (2004). Testing and probing main effects and interactions in latent curve analysis. *Psychological Methods*, *9*, 220-237.

Duncan, T. E., Duncan, S. C., & Strycker, L. A. (2006). *Latent variable growth curve modeling*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

McArdle, J. J. (1988). Dynamic but structural equation modeling of repeated measures data. In J. R. Nesselroade & R. B. Cattell (Eds.), *Handbook of multivariate experimental psychology* (2nd ed., pp. 561–614). New York: Plenum Press.

McArdle, J. J. (1989). Structural modeling experiments using multiple growth functions. In P. Ackerman, R. Kanfer, & R. Cudeck (Eds.), *Learning and individual differences: Abilities, motivation and methodology* (pp. 71–117). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

McArdle, J. J. (1991). Structural models of developmental theory in psychology. In P. Van Geert & L. P. Mos (Eds.), *Annals of theoretical psychology* (Vol. 7, pp. 139–160). New York: Plenum Press.

Meredith, W., & Tisak, J. (1990). Latent curve analysis. *Psychometrika*, *55*, 107–122.

Muthén, B. O. (1991). Analysis of longitudinal data using latent variable models with varying parameters. In L. M. Collins & J. L. Horn (Eds.), *Best methods for the analysis of change: Recent advances, unanswered questions, future directions* (pp. 1–17). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Muthén, B. O. (1993). Latent variable modeling of growth with missing data and multilevel data. In C. M. Cuadras & C. R. Rao (Eds.), *Multivariate analysis: Future directions* (Vol. 2, pp. 199–210). Amsterdam: North Holland.

Muthén, B. O., & Curran, P. J. (1997). General longitudinal modeling of individual differences in experimental designs: A latent variable framework for analysis and power estimation. *Psychological Methods*, *2*, 371–402.

Binary / Ordinal Indicators

Flora, D. B., & Curran, P. J. (2004). An empirical evaluation of alternative methods of estimation for confirmatory factor analysis with ordinal data. *Psychological Methods*, *9*, 466–491.

Kamata, A. & Bauer, D.J. (2008). A note on the relationship between factor analytic and item response theory models. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *15*, 136-153.

Mislevy, R. J. (1986). Recent developments in the factor analysis of categorical variables. *Journal of Educational Statistics*, *11*, 3–31.

- Skrondal, A. and Rabe-Hesketh, S. (2004). *Generalized latent variable modeling: Multilevel, longitudinal and structural equation models*. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- Wirth, R. J., & Edwards, M. C. (2007). Item factor analysis: Current approaches and future directions. *Psychological Methods, 12*, 58–79.

Relationship with Multilevel Modeling

- Bauer, D.J. (2003). Estimating multilevel linear models as structural equation models. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics, 28*, 135-167.
- Chou, C.-P., Bentler, P.M. & Pentz, M.A. (1998). Comparisons of two statistical approaches to study growth curves: The multilevel model and the latent curve analysis. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal, 5*, 247-266.
- Curran, P.J. (2003). Have multilevel models been structural equation models all along? *Multivariate Behavioral Research, 38*, 529-569.
- MacCallum, R. C., Kim, C., Malarkey, W. B., and Kiecolt-Glaser, J. K. (1997). Studying multivariate change using multilevel models and latent curve models. *Multivariate Behavioral Research, 32*, 215-253.
- Mehta, P. D., & Neale, M. C. (2005). People are variables too: Multilevel structural equations modeling. *Psychological Methods, 10*, 259-284.
- Willett, J. B. and Sayer, A. G. (1994). Using covariance structure analysis to detect correlates and predictors of individual change over time. *Psychological Bulletin, 116*, 363-381.

Software

- Byrne, B.M. (1998). *Structural equation modeling with LISREL, PRELIS and SIMPLIS: Basic concepts, applications and programming*. Psychology Press.
- Byrne, B.M. (2006). *Structural equation modeling with EQS: Basic concepts, applications and programming*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Byrne, B.M. (2009). *Structural equation modeling with AMOS: Basic concepts, applications and programming* (Second Edition). New York: Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.
- Byrne, B.M. (2011). *Structural equation modeling with Mplus: Basic concepts, applications and programming*. New York: Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.
- Hatcher, L. (1994). *A step-by-step approach to using SAS for factor analysis and structural equation modeling*. Cary, NC: SAS Publishing.